

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/657,185 09/09/2003		09/09/2003	Daisuke Takahashi	Q77102	1632
	23373	7590	06/10/2005		EXAM	INĖR
	SUGHRUE MION, PLLC				NGUYEN, JOHN QUOC	
	2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					3654	

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/657,185	TAKAHASHI, DAISUKE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John Q. Nguyen	3654
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) d - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. lays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>29 <i>April 2005</i></u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for	r allowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		•
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the appli	ication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 is/are withd		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.	•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	е correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•	•
1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.	·
2. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in A	pplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the Internationa		
* See the attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT	O/SR/08) 5) I Notice of Ir	TIORMAL PALENT Application (PTO-157)

Application/Control Number: 10/657,185

Art Unit: 3654

Applicant's election without traverse of the species of Fig. 2A, claims 1-3, in the reply filed on 12/22/04 has been acknowledged. Claim 4 stands withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species. there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 12/22/04.

The drawings were received on 4/29/05. These drawings are approved.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art in view of Mizutani et al (US 4881696).

Applicant's admitted prior art shown in Figs. 5-6 shows a tape cartridge having substantially all the claimed features but does not disclose a tension absorbing unit. Mizutani et al discloses another tape cartridge in which "tension absorbing unit" 31 is provided in a position between an opening (covered by lid 10) and each reel to apply a tensioning force to the tape to prevent loosening. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide the admitted prior art apparatus with a tension absorbing unit before each reel as taught by Mizutani et al to apply a tensioning force to the tape to prevent loosening. Relative to claim 5, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide the tension unit in the vicinity of an inside portion of the holding portion since the tension unit has to contact tape unwinding Application/Control Number: 10/657,185

Art Unit: 3654

from the reel and the only available space is the space between the reel and the holding portion, which space is in the vicinity of an inside portion of the holding portion.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art in view of Mizutani et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Badeau, Sr (US 3064913).

Badeau, Sr. discloses a tensioning arm 29 positioned before a roll 13 to tension the web and take up slack. Note the u-shape formed by pivot shaft 28, arm 29, and roller 30. Note spring 32. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to alternatively provide the tensioning arm modified as above as one taught by Badeau, Sr. to apply tension, prevent loosening, and take up slack.

Applicant's arguments filed 4/29/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The tape guides 8 of the admitted prior art of figs. 5 and 6 should be noted.

Mizutani et al teach positioning the tension unit between the reel and a tape guide in a two-reel cassette. The admitted prior art is a single reel cartridge but when assembled as shown in fig. 6, the tape travels from one reel to the other as is conventional as in Mizutani et al, the tension unit would then be positioned between the reel 40 and a tape guide 8, as taught by Mizutani et al.

In response to applicant's argument that Badeau is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if

Application/Control Number: 10/657,185

Art Unit: 3654

not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Badeau teaches a tensioning, unit for a web W moving between a supply roll 10 and a take-up roll 13 and therefore is deemed reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned.

The spring in Badeau has one end for biasing the tension unit and the other end fixed to a base 26 on which the tension unit is movably mounted, this base is analogous to the cartridge case on which the tension unit is movably mounted. Applicant should note that the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3654

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6952. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday, from 7:00 AM to 4:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Matecki, can be reached on (571) 272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J1 Q. Vyy

John Q. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3654